# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 0505-02

Bill No.: SCS for HB 60

Subject: Cities, Towns, and Villages; Fees; Health - Public

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: May 15, 2013

Bill Summary: This proposal allows the cities of Farmington and Perryville to abate

nuisances without notification and bill the property owners and allows the

City of Farmington to put ordinances to a vote of the people.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 0505-02 Bill No. SCS for HB 60

Page 2 of 4 May 15, 2013

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0

## **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

#### **ASSUMPTION**

#### § 71.285 - Removal of Weeds and Trash:

In response to similar legislation from 2013 (SB 57), officials at the **City of Farmington** assumed this proposal will have no measurable fiscal impact on the City. The proposal reduces the direct labor and administrative expense related to enforcement of nuisance property ordinances. The only fiscal affect will be to improve the efficiency of police operations related to those offenses.

Officials from the **City of Perryville** expect to realize a small amount of savings from system efficiencies resulting from this proposal.

**Oversight** assumes the City of Farmington and City of Perryville may recoup costs from the property owner if the city removes trash and weeds from a property with more than one violation within a calendar year.

**Oversight** assumes there is no measurable fiscal impact from this proposed legislation on the City of Farmington or the City of Perryville.

#### § 77.675 - Passage of Ordinances in the City of Farmington:

In response to similar legislation filed this year, HB 61, the following responded.

Officials from the **Office of Secretary of State** assume this section of the proposal would not fiscally impact their agency

**Oversight** assumes this section authorizes the city council of the City of Farmington to also adopt or repeal any ordinance by submitting the proposed ordinance to the registered voters of the city at the next municipal election.

**Oversight** assumes there is no measurable fiscal impact from this section of the proposal since the section requires action on the part of the voters in the City of Farmington.

L.R. No. 0505-02 Bill No. SCS for HB 60

Page 4 of 4 May 15, 2013

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

## **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of Secretary of State City of Farmington City of Perryville

> Ross Strope Acting Director May 15, 2013

Con Ada